### OPTIMUM DOSING REGIMEN SELECTION FOR A TARGET DRUG CONCENTRATION

Kabir Soeny, Barbara Bogacka Queen Mary, University of London Byron Jones, Thomas Bouillon Novartis Pharma AG



(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

#### Efficient Dosing Regimens

Notation Criteria of Efficiency Efficient Dosing Algorithm

#### Applications and Extensions

Example: One-compartment Model Extension: Combination of Two Drugs Example: Coartem<sup>®</sup>

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Population Optimum Dose Regimen

Conclusions

Following the correct selection of a therapy based on the indication, an optimal dosing regimen is the most important determinant of therapeutic success of a medical therapy.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- Following the correct selection of a therapy based on the indication, an optimal dosing regimen is the most important determinant of therapeutic success of a medical therapy.
- Most physicians rely on the prescription information for choosing dosing regimens, so the ethical responsibility for supplying an optimal dosing regimen remains largely with pharmaceutical companies.

- Following the correct selection of a therapy based on the indication, an optimal dosing regimen is the most important determinant of therapeutic success of a medical therapy.
- Most physicians rely on the prescription information for choosing dosing regimens, so the ethical responsibility for supplying an optimal dosing regimen remains largely with pharmaceutical companies.
- In the past, the pharmaceutical industry was predominantly interested in achieving approval based on differentiation of the drug against placebo or an active comparator, neglecting the optimization of dosing regimens.

The maximal safe dose was often chosen for confirmatory phase III trials and initially approved/administered to the general patient population.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- The maximal safe dose was often chosen for confirmatory phase III trials and initially approved/administered to the general patient population.
- In several cases, this initial dose had to be lowered after marketing of the drug, in some cases, the entire program was stopped after unacceptable adverse effects within the high dose range occurred.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- The maximal safe dose was often chosen for confirmatory phase III trials and initially approved/administered to the general patient population.
- In several cases, this initial dose had to be lowered after marketing of the drug, in some cases, the entire program was stopped after unacceptable adverse effects within the high dose range occurred.
- Provision of the minimal clinical effective dose, the maximum safe dose and the optimal dose by indication not only improves the chances of a successful approval, but can cure the disease more effectively.

- Here we present an algorithm for optimization of loading and maintenance doses
  - of single drugs
  - and two combined drugs, where the combination ratio is also optimized.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- Here we present an algorithm for optimization of loading and maintenance doses
  - of single drugs
  - and two combined drugs, where the combination ratio is also optimized.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

This is achieved based on a target concentration or a therapeutic concentration window.

- Here we present an algorithm for optimization of loading and maintenance doses
  - of single drugs
  - and two combined drugs, where the combination ratio is also optimized.
- This is achieved based on a target concentration or a therapeutic concentration window.
- We also present a design for an adaptive clinical trial and some simulation studies.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)



Concentration of a drug in blood after administering a single dose.

ヘロト 人間 とくほとう ほとう

э.



#### The concentration of a drug with multiple dosing.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで



#### The concentration of a drug with multiple dosing.

The areas of under-exposure are marked with '-' sign and over-exposure are marked with '+' sign. The green line represents the target concentration of the drug that is desired to be maintained.



The areas of under- and over-exposure are to be minimized by an optimum choice of dose levels.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで



The areas of under- and over-exposure are to be minimized by an optimum choice of dose levels.

We start assuming that the PK model is known and that we know the estimates of the model parameters.

# Efficient Dosing Regimens Notation

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

 $D = (d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$  denotes a vector of doses  $d_i$  that are administered at *n* occasions, a dosing regimen.

 $D = (d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$  denotes a vector of doses  $d_i$  that are administered at *n* occasions, a dosing regimen.

 $C_i(t, d_1, \ldots, d_i)$  denotes the concentration of the drug at time *t* after doses  $d_1, \ldots, d_i$  are administered.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

 $D = (d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$  denotes a vector of doses  $d_i$  that are administered at *n* occasions, a dosing regimen.

 $C_i(t, d_1, \ldots, d_i)$  denotes the concentration of the drug at time *t* after doses  $d_1, \ldots, d_i$  are administered.

Functions  $\Delta_i : \mathfrak{D} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \ \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^i_{>0}, i = 1, \dots, n$ , are such that,

$$\Delta_i(d_1,\ldots,d_i) = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} |C(t,d_1,\ldots,d_i) - C_{tgt}|dt,$$

where  $C_{tgt}$  denotes the target concentration.

 $D = (d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$  denotes a vector of doses  $d_i$  that are administered at *n* occasions, a dosing regimen.

 $C_i(t, d_1, \ldots, d_i)$  denotes the concentration of the drug at time *t* after doses  $d_1, \ldots, d_i$  are administered.

Functions  $\Delta_i : \mathfrak{D} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \ \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^i_{>0}, i = 1, \dots, n$ , are such that,

$$\Delta_i(d_1,\ldots,d_i) = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} |C(t,d_1,\ldots,d_i) - C_{tgt}|dt,$$

where  $C_{tgt}$  denotes the target concentration.

The  $\Delta$ -functions measure the areas of under- and over-exposure around the target concentration.

 $D = (d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$  denotes a vector of doses  $d_i$  that are administered at *n* occasions, a dosing regimen.

 $C_i(t, d_1, \ldots, d_i)$  denotes the concentration of the drug at time *t* after doses  $d_1, \ldots, d_i$  are administered.

Functions  $\Delta_i : \mathfrak{D} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \ \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^i_{>0}, i = 1, \dots, n$ , are such that,

$$\Delta_i(d_1,\ldots,d_i) = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} |C(t,d_1,\ldots,d_i) - C_{tgt}| dt,$$

where  $C_{tgt}$  denotes the target concentration.

The  $\Delta$ -functions measure the areas of under- and over-exposure around the target concentration.

We denote by  $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, ..., \Delta_n)$  the vector of  $\Delta$ -functions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

**Criteria of Efficiency** 

### Efficient Dosing Regimens Criteria of Efficiency

Let  $\mathfrak{D} = [0, d_{max}]^n$  be the class of all dosing regimens D, where  $d_{max}$  is the maximum dose which can be administered.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

### Efficient Dosing Regimens Criteria of Efficiency

Let  $\mathfrak{D} = [0, d_{max}]^n$  be the class of all dosing regimens D, where  $d_{max}$  is the maximum dose which can be administered.

Definition ( $\varphi_A$ -efficiency)

A regimen  $D^* = (d_1^*, \ldots, d_n^*) \in \mathfrak{D}$  is called  $\varphi_A$ -efficient if the function

$$arphi_A(oldsymbol{\Delta}) = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i$$

is minimized by  $D^*$  or equivalently

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i^* \le \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i$$

for all  $m{D}\in\mathfrak{D}$ , where  $\Delta_i^*=\Delta_i(d_1^*,...,d_i^*)$ , i=1,...,n.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

Let

$$L_i^k = \{d_{i1}^k, d_{i2}^k, d_{i3}^k\}$$

be the set of 3 possible doses (*dose sets*) that can be administered at the *i*th occasion, i = 1, ..., n, and *k*-th iteration, k = 1, 2, ..., w.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{i}^{k} = \{d_{i1}^{k}, d_{i2}^{k}, d_{i3}^{k}\}$$

be the set of 3 possible doses (*dose sets*) that can be administered at the *i*th occasion, i = 1, ..., n, and *k*-th iteration, k = 1, 2, ..., w.

Thus, the number of possible dosing regimens in this case is  $3^n$ .

Let

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{i}^{k} = \{d_{i1}^{k}, d_{i2}^{k}, d_{i3}^{k}\}$$

be the set of 3 possible doses (*dose sets*) that can be administered at the *i*th occasion, i = 1, ..., n, and *k*-th iteration, k = 1, 2, ..., w.

Thus, the number of possible dosing regimens in this case is  $3^n$ .

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

**Iteration No. 1** 

Let

$$L_i^k = \{d_{i1}^k, d_{i2}^k, d_{i3}^k\}$$

be the set of 3 possible doses (*dose sets*) that can be administered at the *i*th occasion, i = 1, ..., n, and *k*-th iteration, k = 1, 2, ..., w.

Thus, the number of possible dosing regimens in this case is  $3^n$ .

### Iteration No. 1

Set

$$L_i^1 = \{10\% \text{ of } d_{max}, 50\% \text{ of } d_{max}, d_{max}\}$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

Let

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{i}^{k} = \{d_{i1}^{k}, d_{i2}^{k}, d_{i3}^{k}\}$$

be the set of 3 possible doses (*dose sets*) that can be administered at the *i*th occasion, i = 1, ..., n, and *k*-th iteration, k = 1, 2, ..., w.

Thus, the number of possible dosing regimens in this case is  $3^n$ .

### **Iteration No. 1**

Set

$$L_i^1 = \{10\% \text{ of } d_{max}, 50\% \text{ of } d_{max}, d_{max}\}$$

for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

For each of the  $3^n$  dosing regimens, we compute  $\varphi(\Delta)$ .

Let

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{i}^{k} = \{d_{i1}^{k}, d_{i2}^{k}, d_{i3}^{k}\}$$

be the set of 3 possible doses (*dose sets*) that can be administered at the *i*th occasion, i = 1, ..., n, and *k*-th iteration, k = 1, 2, ..., w.

Thus, the number of possible dosing regimens in this case is  $3^n$ .

### **Iteration No. 1**

Set

$$L_i^1 = \{10\% \text{ of } d_{max}, 50\% \text{ of } d_{max}, d_{max}\}$$

for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

For each of the  $3^n$  dosing regimens, we compute  $\varphi(\Delta)$ .

 $D^1$  is the dosing regimen which minimizes  $\varphi(\Delta)$ .

Iteration No. k



**Iteration No.** *k* The dose sets  $L_i^k$  are reconstituted based on the doses in  $D^{k-1}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

**Iteration No.** *k* 

The dose sets  $L_i^k$  are reconstituted based on the doses in  $D^{k-1}$ .

For example, if  $d_{i3}^{k-1}$  was selected for *i*-th occasion in iteration k-1, the new dose set will be:

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{i}^{k} = \left\{ \epsilon \times d_{i3}^{k-1}, \, d_{i3}^{k-1}, \, \min\left(\frac{d_{i3}^{k-1}}{\epsilon}, d_{max}\right) \right\}.$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

where  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  is a fixed constant, called the *resolution*.
**Iteration No.** *k* 

The dose sets  $L_i^k$  are reconstituted based on the doses in  $D^{k-1}$ .

For example, if  $d_{i3}^{k-1}$  was selected for *i*-th occasion in iteration k-1, the new dose set will be:

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{i}^{k} = \left\{ \epsilon \times d_{i3}^{k-1}, \, d_{i3}^{k-1}, \, \min\left(\frac{d_{i3}^{k-1}}{\epsilon}, d_{max}\right) \right\}.$$

where  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  is a fixed constant, called the *resolution*.

For each of the  $3^n$  dosing regimens, we compute  $\varphi(\Delta)$ .

**Iteration No.** *k* 

The dose sets  $L_i^k$  are reconstituted based on the doses in  $D^{k-1}$ .

For example, if  $d_{i3}^{k-1}$  was selected for *i*-th occasion in iteration k-1, the new dose set will be:

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{i}^{k} = \left\{ \epsilon \times d_{i3}^{k-1}, \, d_{i3}^{k-1}, \, \min\left(\frac{d_{i3}^{k-1}}{\epsilon}, d_{max}\right) \right\}.$$

where  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  is a fixed constant, called the *resolution*. For each of the  $3^n$  dosing regimens, we compute  $\varphi(\Delta)$ .  $D^k$  is the dosing regimen which minimizes  $\varphi(\Delta)$ .

Terminal Iteration No. w



#### Terminal Iteration No. w

The algorithm is terminated at w<sup>th</sup> iteration if

$$d_i^w = d_i^{w-1} \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $d_i^k$  denotes the optimal dose to be administered at the *i*<sup>th</sup> occasion, at the *k*<sup>th</sup> iteration.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

#### Terminal Iteration No. w

The algorithm is terminated at w<sup>th</sup> iteration if

$$d_i^w = d_i^{w-1} \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $d_i^k$  denotes the optimal dose to be administered at the *i*<sup>th</sup> occasion, at the *k*<sup>th</sup> iteration.

Thus, the algorithm terminates when for the given resolution, no further improvement is possible.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### Terminal Iteration No. w

The algorithm is terminated at w<sup>th</sup> iteration if

$$d_i^w = d_i^{w-1} \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $d_i^k$  denotes the optimal dose to be administered at the *i*<sup>th</sup> occasion, at the *k*<sup>th</sup> iteration.

Thus, the algorithm terminates when for the given resolution, no further improvement is possible.

By choosing appropriate resolution,  $D^w$  can be driven as close as required to the most efficient dosing regimen  $D^*$ .

#### Theorem

The ED Algorithm converges to the true unknown  $\varphi$ -efficient dosing regimen  $D^*$  when the resolution tends to 1, that is

 $\epsilon \to 1 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}^w \to \boldsymbol{D}^\star.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Theorem

The ED Algorithm converges to the true unknown  $\varphi$ -efficient dosing regimen  $D^*$  when the resolution tends to 1, that is

$$\epsilon \to 1 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}^w \to \boldsymbol{D}^\star$$

The major argument in the proof is that the unknown true optimal doses  $d_i^*$  lie in the respective intervals, that is

$$d_i^{\star} \in \left(\epsilon d_i^w, \min\left\{\frac{d_i^w}{\epsilon}, d_{max}\right\}\right).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

We can decrease the under- and over-exposure by planning more frequent dosing.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

We can decrease the under- and over-exposure by planning more frequent dosing.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



We can decrease the under- and over-exposure by planning more frequent dosing.



We can decrease the under- and over-exposure by planning more frequent dosing.



We can decrease the under- and over-exposure by planning more frequent dosing.



Example: one-compartment model



Example: one-compartment model

$$C(t,d) = \frac{FdK_a}{V(K_a - K_e)} \left( e^{-K_e t} - e^{-K_a t} \right),$$

where

 $K_a$  and  $K_e$  denote the absorption and elimination rate constants, V is the volume of distribution,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

*F* is the bio-availability.

Example: one-compartment model

$$C(t,d) = \frac{FdK_a}{V(K_a - K_e)} \left( e^{-K_e t} - e^{-K_a t} \right),$$

where

 $K_a$  and  $K_e$  denote the absorption and elimination rate constants, V is the volume of distribution,

F is the bio-availability.

For the calculations we take the following estimates of the parameters

$$(\hat{K}_a, \hat{K}_e, \hat{V}, \hat{F}) = (0.37 \, h^{-1}, \, 0.2 \, h^{-1}, \, 24 \, L, \, 0.95).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Example: one-compartment model

$$C(t,d) = \frac{FdK_a}{V(K_a - K_e)} \left( e^{-K_e t} - e^{-K_a t} \right),$$

where

 $K_a$  and  $K_e$  denote the absorption and elimination rate constants, V is the volume of distribution,

F is the bio-availability.

For the calculations we take the following estimates of the parameters

$$(\hat{K}_a, \hat{K}_e, \hat{V}, \hat{F}) = (0.37 \, h^{-1}, \, 0.2 \, h^{-1}, \, 24 \, L, \, 0.95).$$

Also,  $C_{tgt} = 3mg L^{-1}$  and  $d_{max} = 250 mg$  and we consider n = 7 occasions to administer the drug with  $\tau_j = 6h, j = 1, ..., 7$  so that T = 42.

Example: one-compartment model

At resolution of  $\epsilon = 0.99$ ,  $\varphi_A$ -efficient dosing regimen is

D = (163.87, 69.04, 92.12, 87.00, 87.88, 88.49, 87.88).

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Example: one-compartment model

At resolution of  $\epsilon = 0.99$ ,  $\varphi_A$ -efficient dosing regimen is

D = (163.87, 69.04, 92.12, 87.00, 87.88, 88.49, 87.88).

The concentration profile is shown below.



### Efficient Dosing Algorithm Example: one-compartment model

The algorithm converged in 84 iterations ( $\epsilon = 0.99$ ).



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ 差 のQの

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

Let the ratio of drug A to drug B in the tablet be  $1: \theta$ .

Let the ratio of drug A to drug B in the tablet be  $1: \theta$ .

The problem then is two-fold:

Let the ratio of drug A to drug B in the tablet be  $1: \theta$ .

The problem then is two-fold:

Find the optimal ratio  $\theta^*$  in which the drugs should be combined and find the most efficient dosing regimen  $D^*$  for the tablet.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let the ratio of drug A to drug B in the tablet be  $1: \theta$ .

The problem then is two-fold:

Find the optimal ratio  $\theta^*$  in which the drugs should be combined and find the most efficient dosing regimen  $D^*$  for the tablet.

• We have two target concentrations  $C_{tgt}^A$  and  $C_{tgt}^B$ .

Let the ratio of drug A to drug B in the tablet be  $1: \theta$ .

The problem then is two-fold:

Find the optimal ratio  $\theta^*$  in which the drugs should be combined and find the most efficient dosing regimen  $D^*$  for the tablet.

- We have two target concentrations  $C_{tgt}^A$  and  $C_{tgt}^B$ .
- The dosing regimen for the tablet will be

$$\boldsymbol{D}^A + \boldsymbol{D}^B = \boldsymbol{D}^A (1+\theta)$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let the ratio of drug A to drug B in the tablet be  $1: \theta$ .

The problem then is two-fold:

Find the optimal ratio  $\theta^*$  in which the drugs should be combined and find the most efficient dosing regimen  $D^*$  for the tablet.

- We have two target concentrations  $C_{tgt}^A$  and  $C_{tgt}^B$ .
- The dosing regimen for the tablet will be

$$\boldsymbol{D}^A + \boldsymbol{D}^B = \boldsymbol{D}^A (1+\theta)$$

We minimize

$$\varphi^{C}(\mathbf{\Delta}) = \omega \varphi^{A}_{A}(\mathbf{\Delta}) + (1-\omega) \varphi^{B}_{A}(\mathbf{\Delta})$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

where  $\omega$  is a weighting constant.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 ○ のへぐ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

This is a combination of two drugs:

- 1. Arthemether (A)
- 2. Lumefantrine (B)

This is a combination of two drugs:

- 1. Arthemether (A)
- 2. Lumefantrine (B)

The current dosing regimen with the ration of  $\theta = 6$  over three days gives a typical concentration profile as shown in the figure below.



Alternative Regimen I The *Efficient Dosing Algorithm* is applied keeping the same times of dosing and the same number of doses.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Alternative Regimen I The *Efficient Dosing Algorithm* is applied keeping the same times of dosing and the same number of doses.

The Figure below shows the concentration profile of the two drugs for the optimum regimen  $D_I^*$ , with  $\theta^* = 8.24$ .



・ コット (雪) ( 小田) ( コット 日)

**Alternative Regimen II** The *Efficient Dosing Algorithm* is applied with a different dosing schedule, 7 doses instead of 6 over the period of three days.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

**Alternative Regimen II** The *Efficient Dosing Algorithm* is applied with a different dosing schedule, 7 doses instead of 6 over the period of three days.

The Figure below shows the concentration profile of the two drugs for the optimum regimen  $D_{II}^{*}$ , with  $\theta^{*} = 7.21$ .



Comparison of the dosing regimens and the combination ratios.

Dosing Regimen	$\theta^*$	$\phi^C$
$m{D}^A = (80.0, 80.0, 80.0, 80.0, 80.0, 80.0, 80.0)$ $m{D}^B = (480.0, 480.0, 480.0, 480.0, 480.0, 480.0)$	6.00	135.2
$\boldsymbol{D}_{I}^{A^{*}} = (60.6, 46.7, 49.6, 49.6, 49.4, 39.3)$ $\boldsymbol{D}_{I}^{B^{*}} = (499.7, 384.8, 408.7, 408.7, 407.3, 324.4)$	8.24	106.8
$\boldsymbol{D}_{II}^{A^*} = (60.6, 67.7, 42.2, 43.8, 48.9, 49.4, 45.1) \\ \boldsymbol{D}_{II}^{B^*} = (437.2, 488.3, 304.5, 315.9, 352.8, 356.4, 325.6)$	7.21	80.7

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Population PK Model

・ロト・四ト・モート ヨー うへの
#### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Population PK Model

We consider the compartment model:

$$C(t,d,\beta) = \frac{dK_a}{V(K_a - K_e)} (e^{-K_e t} - e^{-K_a t}),$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

where  $\beta = (K_a, K_e, V)$  are unknown parameters,  $K_a$  is the absorption rate constant,  $K_e$  is the elimination rate constant, V is the volume of distribution.

#### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Population PK Model

We consider the compartment model:

$$C(t,d,\beta) = \frac{dK_a}{V(K_a - K_e)} (e^{-K_e t} - e^{-K_a t}),$$

where  $\beta = (K_a, K_e, V)$  are unknown parameters,  $K_a$  is the absorption rate constant,  $K_e$  is the elimination rate constant, V is the volume of distribution.

The aim is to maintain a target concentration,

$$C_{tgt} = 5mg/L$$
 for  $T = 40h$ 

by administering n = 5 doses at  $t_k^* = 0, 8, 16, 24, 32$  h.

### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Population PK model

We take the stage 1 model as

$$y_{ij} = C(t_{ij}, d_i, \beta_j) \exp\{e_{ij}\}, \quad d_i = (d_1, \dots, d_i), \ \beta_j = (K_{aj}, K_{ej}, V_j)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

#### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Population PK model

We take the stage 1 model as

$$y_{ij} = C(t_{ij}, d_i, \beta_j) \exp\{e_{ij}\}, \quad d_i = (d_1, \dots, d_i), \ \beta_j = (K_{aj}, K_{ej}, V_j)$$

and the stage 2 model as

$$\left( egin{array}{c} K_{aj} \ K_{ej} \ V_j \end{array} 
ight) = \left( egin{array}{c} K_a \exp\{b_{K_{aj}}\} \ K_e \exp\{b_{K_{ej}}\} \ V \exp\{b_{V_j}\} \end{array} 
ight),$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

#### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Population PK model

We take the stage 1 model as

$$y_{ij} = C(t_{ij}, d_i, \beta_j) \exp\{e_{ij}\}, \quad d_i = (d_1, \dots, d_i), \ \beta_j = (K_{aj}, K_{ej}, V_j)$$

and the stage 2 model as

$$\begin{pmatrix} K_{aj} \\ K_{ej} \\ V_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_a \exp\{b_{K_{aj}}\} \\ K_e \exp\{b_{K_{ej}}\} \\ V \exp\{b_{V_j}\} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$e_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2), \quad oldsymbol{b}_j = \left(egin{array}{c} b_{K_{ej}} \ b_{V_j} \ b_{V_j} \end{array}
ight) \sim \mathcal{N}_3(oldsymbol{0}, oldsymbol{\Omega})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

and  $e_{ij}$  are independent from  $b_j$ .

・ロト・四ト・モート ヨー うへの

At stage *k* of the Trial:



At stage *k* of the Trial:

Step 1 Apply the ED algorithm to determine the efficient dosing regimen  $D_k$  for given  $\hat{\beta}^{k-1}$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

At stage *k* of the Trial:

Step 1 Apply the ED algorithm to determine the efficient dosing regimen  $D_k$  for given  $\hat{\beta}^{k-1}$ .

Step 2 Administer the dosing regimen  $D_k$  to the  $k^{th}$  cohort.

At stage *k* of the Trial:

- Step 1 Apply the ED algorithm to determine the efficient dosing regimen  $D_k$  for given  $\hat{\beta}^{k-1}$ .
- Step 2 Administer the dosing regimen  $D_k$  to the  $k^{th}$  cohort.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Step 3 Obtain the PK responses at the respective D-optimal sampling time points.

At stage *k* of the Trial:

- Step 1 Apply the ED algorithm to determine the efficient dosing regimen  $D_k$  for given  $\hat{\beta}^{k-1}$ .
- Step 2 Administer the dosing regimen  $D_k$  to the  $k^{th}$  cohort.
- Step 3 Obtain the PK responses at the respective D-optimal sampling time points.
- Step 4 Obtain the estimates of the PK parameters as  $\hat{\beta}^k$ .

At stage *k* of the Trial:

- Step 1 Apply the ED algorithm to determine the efficient dosing regimen  $D_k$  for given  $\hat{\beta}^{k-1}$ .
- Step 2 Administer the dosing regimen  $D_k$  to the  $k^{th}$  cohort.
- Step 3 Obtain the PK responses at the respective D-optimal sampling time points.
- Step 4 Obtain the estimates of the PK parameters as  $\hat{\beta}^k$ .
- Step 5 Stop the trial if the stopping rule is met, otherwise set k = k + 1 and repeat steps 1-4.

At stage *k* of the Trial:

- Step 1 Apply the ED algorithm to determine the efficient dosing regimen  $D_k$  for given  $\hat{\beta}^{k-1}$ .
- Step 2 Administer the dosing regimen  $D_k$  to the  $k^{th}$  cohort.
- Step 3 Obtain the PK responses at the respective D-optimal sampling time points.
- Step 4 Obtain the estimates of the PK parameters as  $\hat{\beta}^k$ .
- Step 5 Stop the trial if the stopping rule is met, otherwise set k = k + 1 and repeat steps 1-4.
- Step 6 Analyze the gathered data to recommend the best possible dosing regimen.

For the simulation we assume the true population parameters be  $\beta = (K_a, K_e, V) = (.85, .15, .17), \sigma^2 = .01$  and

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \left(egin{array}{cccc} .015 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & .015 & .005 \ 0 & .005 & .005 \end{array}
ight)$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

For the simulation we assume the true population parameters be  $\beta = (K_a, K_e, V) = (.85, .15, .17), \sigma^2 = .01$  and

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \left( egin{array}{cccc} .015 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & .015 & .005 \ 0 & .005 & .005 \end{array} 
ight)$$

Based on these parameters, the efficient dose regimen is:

$$D^* = (145, 90, 95, 95, 95)$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

with  $\varphi(\mathbf{\Delta}_5^*) = 7.13$ .



The dosing regimen for the true model parameters and the D-optimum sampling times  $\xi^* = \{0.10, 4.77, 61.88\}$ 

### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Population Variability



#### Simulated profiles of concentration of a 100 patients

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

We assume that some prior knowledge about the PK parameters and population variability is available from previous studies.

We assume that some prior knowledge about the PK parameters and population variability is available from previous studies.

Here we have  $\beta_0 = (1.5, .25, 13)^T$ ,  $\sigma_0^2 = .5$  and

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_0 = \left(egin{array}{ccc} .3 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & .4 & .6 \ 0 & .6 & .5 \end{array}
ight).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

We assume that some prior knowledge about the PK parameters and population variability is available from previous studies.

Here we have  $\beta_0 = (1.5, .25, 13)^T$ ,  $\sigma_0^2 = .5$  and

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0 = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} .3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .4 & .6 \\ 0 & .6 & .5 \end{array} \right)$$

The parameters to be estimated are

$$oldsymbol{\Psi}_k = ig(oldsymbol{eta}_k,oldsymbol{\omega}_k,\sigma_k^2ig)$$

where  $\omega_k = (\omega_{11_k}, \omega_{22_k}, \omega_{33_k}, \omega_{23_k}).$ 

## Population Optimum Dose Regimen

Adaptive Clinical Trial: Stopping Rules

#### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Adaptive Clinical Trial: Stopping Rules

**Stopping Rules** 

1 The trial is terminated when the same dose regimen gets administered to two successive cohorts.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Adaptive Clinical Trial: Stopping Rules

**Stopping Rules** 

- 1 The trial is terminated when the same dose regimen gets administered to two successive cohorts.
- 2 The trial is terminated at the k<sup>th</sup> iteration when the dose regimen for the next cohort coincides with D\*.

#### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Adaptive Clinical Trial: Stopping Rules

Stopping Rules

- 1 The trial is terminated when the same dose regimen gets administered to two successive cohorts.
- 2 The trial is terminated at the k<sup>th</sup> iteration when the dose regimen for the next cohort coincides with D\*.
- 3 The trial is terminated at the  $k^{th}$  iteration if all the elements of  $\Psi_k$  are within 10% of the true parameters.

# Population Optimum Dose Regimen

**Results of the Simulated Adaptive Clinical Trial** 



For true parameters:  $D = (145, 90, 95, 95, 95)^T$ 



Stopping Rule 2:  $D_5 = (145, 90, 95, 95, 95)^T$ 



Stopping Rule 1:  $D_8 = (145, 90, 95, 90, 95)^T$ 



Stopping Rule 3:  $D_{12} = (135, 85, 85, 90, 85)^T$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─の�?

#### Population Optimum Dose Regimen Software used

- RELME algorithm in MatLab is used for estimation of PK parameters at each stage of the trial,
- D-optimality criterion is used to determine the optimal blood sampling times, using the software PopED.

This is work in progress.



- This is work in progress.
- The method can be applied for other criteria and other models.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

- This is work in progress.
- The method can be applied for other criteria and other models.
- Continuous or discrete set of dose levels can be used.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- This is work in progress.
- The method can be applied for other criteria and other models.
- Continuous or discrete set of dose levels can be used.
- Combining population D-optimum design for blood sampling with the φ<sub>A</sub>-optimum dose regimen selection in an adaptive trial gives good results.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- This is work in progress.
- The method can be applied for other criteria and other models.
- Continuous or discrete set of dose levels can be used.
- Combining population D-optimum design for blood sampling with the φ<sub>A</sub>-optimum dose regimen selection in an adaptive trial gives good results.
- Farther work includes incorporation of covariates to make the dose regimen more suitable for a stratified population.

Paracelsus

All things are poison, and nothing is without poison; only the dose permits something not to be poisonous.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Paracelsus

All things are poison, and nothing is without poison; only the dose permits something not to be poisonous.



Paracelsus (Philippus Aureolus Theophrastus Bombastus von Hohenheim, 1493-1541) was a Swiss German Renaissance physician, botanist, alchemist, astrologer, and general occultist.

WIKIPEDIA

#### THANK YOU